

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1421628-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 2

Page 3 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 4 ~ Duplicate;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For this Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

## TRANSMIT VIA:

Teletype  
 Facsimile  
 Airtel

## PRECEDENCE:

Immediate  
 Priority  
 Routine

## CLASSIFICATION:

TOP SECRET  
 SECRET  
 CONFIDENTIAL  
 UNCLAS E F T O  
 UNCLAS

Date 7/13/87b6  
b7C

P182

1 TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
(ATTN: SA [REDACTED] ROOM 3865)

2 FROM: SAC, MOBILE (86A-105)(RUC)

3 SUBJECT: UNSUBS.  
4 ALLEGATIONS OF THE IMPROPER AWARDING  
5 OF AN 8A CONTRACT TO BAY CITY  
6 MARINE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
FAG - SBA  
(OO: SAN DIEGO)86A-102-X  
206-474-20654\*  
206A-1500-12  
206A-69-93A7 Re. Bureau airtel to Mobile and San Diego dated 6/29/87;  
8 telcal from Mobile to SA [REDACTED] Room 3865, 7/10/87.b6  
b7C9 Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies of an FD-302  
reflecting interview of [REDACTED] on 7/9/87, along  
10 with envelope containing copies of documents provided by [REDACTED]  
as described in enclosed FD-302.b6  
b7C11 Enclosed for San Diego is the original and one copy  
12 of FD-302 reflecting interview of [REDACTED] on 7/9/87,  
13 along with two separate 1A envelopes containing copies of  
documents provided by [REDACTED] and Agent's original notes.b6  
b7C14 [REDACTED] NEW AMERICA  
SHIPBUILDERS, INC.b6  
b7C15 were present throughout the interview and concur with the  
story provided by [REDACTED]16 2 - Bureau (Enc.3)  
17 ② - San Diego (Enc.4) UB  
18 1 - Mobile  
CDD/clm.  
(5)

86A-102-3

MM  
MS21 Approved SEARCHED INDEXED TRANSMITTED Per \_\_\_\_\_  
Number) (Time)b6  
b7C

SEARCHED \_\_\_\_\_

The essence of [redacted] allegation is that IRVING I. REFKIN, formerly Executive Vice President of BAY CITY MARINE, San Diego, California, used his "connections" and influence with Attorney General MEESE and JAMES C. SANDERS, Administrator, U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA), to wrongfully interfere and prevent New America Shipbuilders from obtaining a \$2.5 million contract with the U.S. Coast Guard to construct three aids to navigation boats.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] had no specific or direct evidence of REFKIN wrongfully interfering or conspiring with the Attorney General or others concerning this matter. Despite explanations provided by various SBA officials, it remains a mystery to the principals of NEW AMERICA SHIPBUILDERS as to why and how they really lost the contract. [redacted] believes REFKIN was motivated to so act as his company, BAY CITY MARINE, had been the sole 8(a) certified shipbuilder prior to the certification of NEW AMERICA SHIPBUILDERS in October, 1983, and thus desired to remove its new competitor.

b6  
b7C

It should be noted that the contract lost by NEW AMERICA SHIPBUILDERS was not issued to REFKIN's company, which had also put in for it with the SBA. Apparently due to the protests of [redacted] and his associates to various Governmental officials, the Coast Guard eventually removed the contract from the 8(a) set aside program for open and competitive bidding.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] and his associates possess considerable more documentation concerning their efforts and dealings to obtain the Coast Guard contract. They also expressed a willingness to assist and cooperate with the FBI in any further investigation concerning this matter.

b6  
b7C

As all appropriate investigation has been completed by Mobile Division at this time, this case is being placed in RUC status.